INVITED COMMENTARY

Open Access



Enhancing prehospital analgesia – advantages and further indications of nalbuphin

Gerrit Jansen^{1*}, Marvin Deslandes¹, Martin Deicke^{2,3}, Julia Johanna Grannemann⁴, Annika Hoyer⁵, Matthias Kalmbach^{6,7}, André Kobiella⁸, Thomas Plappert^{7,9}, Bernd Strickmann⁸ and Jochen Hinkelbein¹

Dear Editor,

the authors thank Rohat et al. for their Letter to the Editor and the specific interest in our publication "Effectiveness and safety of prehospital analgesia with nalbuphine and paracetamol versus morphine by paramedics - an observational study" [1].

As Rohat et al. correctly mentionend, the aforementioned study - like all retrospective observational studies - exhibits biases that must be taken into account in its careful interpretation. In observational studies - in contrast to prospective randomised studies - the patient collectives are generally not completely structurally identical. Hence, the analysis was adjusted for possible confounders as well as for the influence of exposure (group membership).

*Correspondence:

Gerrit Jansen

gerrit.jansen@muehlenkreiskliniken.de

¹University Department of Anesthesiology, Intensive Care Medicine and Emergency Medicine, Johannes Wesling Klinikum Minden, Ruhr University Bochum, Hans-Nolte-Straße 1, 32429 Minden, Germany ²County of Osnabrueck, Am Schölerberg 1, 49082 Osnabrueck, Germany ³Department of Anesthesiology and operative Intensive Care Medicine, Hospital of Osnabrueck, Am Finkenhügel 1, 49076 Osnabrueck, Germany ⁴Emergency Medical Services, City and District of Guetersloh, Herzebrocker Strasse 140, 33324 Guetersloh, Germany ⁵Department of Biostatistics and Medical Biometry, Medical School OWL, Bielefeld University, Universitätsstraße 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany ⁶Emergency Medical Services, City and District of Fulda, Otfrid-von-Weißenburg-Str. 3, 36043 Fulda, Germany ⁷Emergency Department, Klinikum Fulda, Pacelliallee 4, 36043 Fulda,

Germany ⁸Emergency Medical Services of the Order of Malta, Region Hesse,

Schmidtstrasse 67, 60326 Frankfurt/Main, Germany

⁹Emergency Medical Services; City and District of Guetersloh,
Herzebrocker Strasse 140, 33324 Guetersloh, Germany

Despite numerous efforts to improve preclinical analgesia concepts, the rate of preclinical oligoanalgesia - as Rohat et al. correctly point out - remains unsatisfactorily high, often due to fear of complications of analgesic therapy [2]. However, due to its favourable pharmacodynamics, rapid onset, sufficiently long duration of action and unique safety profile with a low rate of side effects, nalbuphine appears to be well suited to complement the preclinical armamentarium of analgesics [1, 3]. In addition to analgesia, the authors believe that further prehospital use of nalbuphine could include sedation in the treatment of acute dyspnoea while avoiding respiratory insufficiency, as well as the antagonisation of µ-opioid receptor-associated side effects in the context of diacetylmorphine intoxication with simultaneous κ-receptormediated analgesia or sedation.

The authors - like Rohat et al. - are convinced that further prospective studies are urgently required in order to characterise the patient collectives and pain intensities of those patients who particularly benefit from nalbuphine in comparison to other $\mu\text{-agonists},$ as well as any complications that may occur during the course of treatment within the hospital. The START-A-mnemonic proposed by Rohat et al. could be very helpful in this setting.

Acknowledgements

None.

Authors' contributions

GJ, MD, MD, JJG, AH, MK, AK, TP, BS and JH drafted the manuscript, and all authors contributed substantially to its revision. GJ, MD, MD, JJG, AH, MK, AK, TP, BS and JH take responsibility for the paper as a whole.

Funding

No external funding was received for this study.



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Data availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

None.

Prior presentations

None.

Received: 24 May 2024 / Accepted: 29 May 2024

Published online: 12 June 2024

References

- Deslandes M, Deicke M, Grannemann JJ, et al. Effectiveness and safety of prehospital analgesia with nalbuphine and paracetamol versus morphine by paramedics - an observational study. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2024;32(1):41.
- Albrecht E, Taffe P, Yersin B, Schoettker P, Decosterd I, Hugli O. Undertreatment of acute pain (oligoanalgesia) and medical practice variation in prehospital analgesia of adult trauma patients: a 10 year retrospective study. Br J Anaesth. 2013;110:96–106.
- Strickmann B, Deicke M, Hoyer A, Kobiella A, Jansen G. Effectiveness and safety of prehospital analgesia including nalbuphine and paracetamol by paramedics: an observational study. Minerva Anestesiol. 2023;89:1105–1114.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.